

Mr. Huser called for a point of order, indicating the County Board Rules specifically state after a public hearing the County Board does not hear testimony. He asked those Rules be upheld this evening.

Mr. Maccari asked to rise to a point of order indicating the standard practice for Board meetings was anyone who wanted to speak for five minutes was allowed to do so. He was speaking his opinion and wanted to know how that would be a violation of any rules. Chairman Glazier asked the State's Attorney to give his opinion on the Rules.

States Attorney Minger stated the County Board Rules, under Public Comment Section 9A reads, "A time shall be provided on the agenda of each regular meeting for members of the public to be heard. Such time shall not exceed 5 minutes per speaker. No member of the public may address the Board on any issue that has been the subject of a properly noticed and legally held public hearing, conducted by a hearing officer." He stated the Zoning Board of Appeals Hearing Officer heard testimony on the proposed Solar Farm at a previously held hearing. As a compromise the County Board was allowing the public to say whether they were for or against the Solar Farm.

Chairman Glazier stated they could continue to speak, asking them to please modify what they were saying so as not to give testimony.

Mr. Maccari felt the County Board did not want to hear from the public, and continued to say he felt the property values would be affected by the Solar Farm. Mr. Huser asked for point of order again. Chairman Glazier asked whether Mr. Maccari would like to speak at the end of public input, to allow him time to re-word his input. Mr. Maccari then asked for the time to be allowed to finish. He said he and his wife looked at two towns for their retirement home in 2016, choosing between Eureka and Washington. If they had known a Solar Farm would be coming in, they would have chosen Washington. The County Board was making a very important decision that would affect a lot of people and he urged the County Board to vote against it.

Mr. Dennis Garey of Eureka stated he was a lifelong resident of Woodford County, primarily in Cruger Township. He and his wife had family throughout Woodford County and he hoped that between Kappa, Spring Bay, Goodfield, and Minonk, the County Board would act as good neighbors and vote no on this proposition.

Ms. Cecelia Hennessy LaBonte of Eureka stated she was at the Zoning Board hearing, but wanted to address comments she believed were not covered at that meeting. She was a Biology Professor at Eureka College and did not own property that abuts to the proposed Solar Farm; however, she was raising her family in Eureka and felt the climate change was absolutely happening and was getting worse every year. Today's world and the environment in which we live were affecting her decision.

Mr. Jording called for a point of order, he felt this was testimony, that the County Board was getting facts which should have been given under oath. He asked the Chairman that the Rules be followed for everyone.

Ms. Hennessy LaBonte said she felt her topics were not covered in the last Zoning Board hearing.

Mr. Jording told her it didn't matter, the hearing had already happened and what she was saying could be considered testimony. Chairman Glazier asked Ms. Hennessy LaBonte to please move on.

Ms. Hennessy LaBonte said she understood the property concerns and wanted to protect their value or increase them whenever possible. She understood what happens with adjoining property could be scary, but wanted the County Board to consider the broader picture and think globally, to deny the Solar Farm would be at a cost to all of us.

Mr. Jording called a point of order and Chairman Glazier reminded her that what she was saying could be considered testimony. Ms. Hennessy LaBonte started to speak again, but Mr. Huser as well as Mr. Jording had to interrupt again because of testimony. Mr. Jording said the public was not under oath, the purpose of the public hearing was people were under oath, sworn to tell the truth. Tonight you could say I oppose or I am for this. The

time for facts, evidence, and testimony was during the public hearing. Everyone here would be held to the Rules, as everyone with prior zoning issues had in the past, regardless what side of the fence the person was on.

Ms. Hennessy LaBonte stated her opinion was to support this Solar Farm, and asked the County Board to vote yes.

Mr. Darryl Wilson of Eureka respectfully asked the County Board to vote down the Solar Farm. He felt solar energy was good, but not where it was proposed to go.

Mrs. Darla Wilson of Eureka stated she was opposed to the location of the Solar Farm for the reasons already heard by the County Board. She would support it wholeheartedly if it was not in her front yard. She asked the Board to support the neighborhood residents, support the growth in Eureka for the years to come, and vote no. Vote no to the location and to the special use permit.

Ms. Christine Lybeck of Eureka stated with great respect and sincerity to everyone, that what came to her mind when she thought of solar power was the big change in history it would be as well as how difficult it would be to adjust. Chairman Glazier reminded Ms. Lybeck her statements were too much like testimony and asked her to move on. Ms. Lybeck went on to say she sincerely respected them all; however, she felt transferring to solar power would be good. She asked the County Board to vote yes.

Mr. David Prather of Eureka stated he and his wife built a house adjacent to the proposed Solar Farm and strongly urged the County Board to take into account the neighborhood. He asked the County Board to vote no on the proposed Solar Farm.

Ms. Lynn Travillion of Eureka stated she strongly opposed the position of the proposed Solar Farm and felt it could be placed elsewhere.

Ms. Kathy (Katie) Everett of Washington stated she was a Professor at Eureka College, a member of the Eureka Rotary and supported this Community. She supported the proposed Solar Farm because she felt not only would it possibly provide cheaper electricity for Woodford County, but hoped it would benefit Tazewell County as well. Mr. Jording asked Chairman Glazier whether Ms. Everett's comments were beyond the Rule stated previously. She ended by saying she supported the Solar Farm and urged the County Board to vote yes.

Ms. Riley Francis of Eureka stated she was a student of Eureka College, and supported the Solar Farm. She felt it was at a distance and not too much of an inconvenience or an eye sore. Mr. Jording asked Chairman Glazier to remind her to be careful not to give testimony. She ended by saying she was in support and sincerely hoped the County Board would vote yes.

Mr. Art Anliker of Eureka stated he and his wife had recently purchased a house in the area surrounding the Solar Farm. He felt this was a major decision, not an experiment. It went without saying he was adamantly opposed to this.

Mr. Andrew Keyt with Heyl and Royster stated he was the attorney for Sol America. Obviously he was in support of the Solar Farm project, and appreciated the County Board's time and consideration for this project. Mr. Keyt respectfully asked the County Board to support this project and vote yes.

Ms. Joyce Harat stated she was representing Central Illinois Healthy Community Alliance. She stated most of what she intended to say would not be allowed, so she told the County Board the decision they would be making about solar energy was not just for today. She encouraged the Board to look at the bigger picture as to how they would make future decisions about solar.

Mrs. Nancy Maccari of Eureka stated she was here to urge the County Board to vote no tonight on the Solar Farm application. She and her husband owned a home within eyesight of the proposed project and while they were not against solar power, they along with hundreds of others were against this location. Mr. Jording called for

a point of order. Chairman Glazier requested she be careful as to not give testimony. Mrs. Maccari then stated she was just opposed to the location like so many others, and urged the County Board strongly to vote no.

Mr. Curt Litwiller of Eureka stated the County Board was going to like him because all he was going to say was he opposed it.

Mr. Scott Zimmer of Eureka stated he was the Mayor of Eureka and he wanted to thank the County Board for taking the time to review all that had been presented since May. He knew it had been a lot of work, and as one that represented the people, it's a big commitment. Mayor Zimmer wanted to thank the Community for coming together and stated this was a strong Community, one that was growing, and asked the County Board to please vote no.

Ms. Kathy Facker of Eureka stated she had lived in her home for more than thirty years and she was opposed to the Solar Farm. She felt the City of Eureka should have a say in what goes around the border of their City. Ms. Facker felt this Solar Farm should be further from residential areas, out in the middle of a corn field. She urged the County Board to please say no to the Solar Farm.

Ms. Kristine Numrich of Eureka stated she would like the County Board to vote no, the residents did not deserve to have a Solar Farm in their backyard. She felt there was plenty of opportunity for the Solar Farm to be elsewhere. Mr. Jording called for a point of order. Ms. Numrich believed it was a personal decision to put a solar panel on your rooftop, but until technology was perfected or battery powered, it then could be looked at. She believed this was in a terrible location and Eureka should have the right to say no.

Ms. Terra Brockman of Congerville stated she lived in the area for more than twenty years and believed everyone here had really good points. She was standing here today to urge the County Board to vote yes on the Solar Farm. She knew this was a difficult decision, but believed as a property owner, she would like the personal liberty to be able to do what she would like to do with her property. Ms. Brockman urged the County Board not only for this project, but for other projects, to give the property owner the right to do what they wanted, or have what they wanted on their property.

Mr. Joel A. Smith of Congerville stated he felt this was the finest example of representative democracy he had seen, and thought solar was the future. It represented progress and you couldn't hold back progress, so he urged the County Board to vote in favor of the Solar Farm.

Ms. Erin Holmes of Mackinaw stated she represented a statewide habitat organization and the conditions of the Solar Farm met or exceeded expectations from a habitat point of view. She was not here to state whether or not the location was right-this was for the residents and the County Board to decide. In the future she wanted the County Board to look at the habitat aspects.

Mr. Tim Boland of Eureka stated he and his wife owned property that neighbored the proposed Solar Farm. He strongly urged the County Board to vote against this project.

As no one responded to Chairman Glazier's call for further public input, he announced the end of such.

The meeting continued with "Appointments". Mr. Kingdon moved to approve the reappointment of Teresa Gauger to the Zoning Board of Appeals for a five year term expiring August 1, 2023, which motion was seconded by Mr. Huser. Chairman Glazier called for the vote and then asked for a show of hands of those voting Nay. Four Nay votes were cast. Motion carried.

Mr. Parsons moved to approve the appointment of Bill Moline as a representative for Minonk to Tri-County Regional Planning Commission contingent on their individual payment for a one year term expiring August 21, 2019. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kingdon and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Kempf moved to approve the appointment of Deb Breyman as the PCOM (Program Compliance

Oversight Monitor) for We Care to complete the unexpired four year term of Alycia Lingle expiring the first Monday in December, 2020. The motion was seconded by Mr. Hill and was unanimously carried.

The agenda continued with Petitions, Resolutions, and/or Motions. The first item listed was Ordinance #021 granting a Special Use concerning Petition #2018-11-S by Sol America Energy/George Mori to operate a 25-30 acre Solar Farm Energy System in the (AG) Agriculture District more commonly described as the tract of land West/South West of Marshall Road in Lakeview Acres Subdivision, Eureka, Illinois.

Mr. Jording moved to send such back to the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) for further consideration, which motion was seconded by Mr. Kempf. Mr. Jording stated he thought procedure was important and there was a significant procedural error at the ZBA hearing; however, they did a fine job as well as the Assistant State's Attorney. The County procedures call for the objectors to have sur-rebuttal but the Chair said they had all the rebuttal they were going to have. The objectors were entitled to this and this would take it to the next step to be done right. He would like to see it go back to give the objectors the sur-rebuttal they were entitled to under the rules and ultimately all those entitled to sur-rebuttal at that time should have the opportunity.

Discussion followed on the need to ensure the Special Use was done correctly, how the State's Attorney had reviewed the concerns about the 1 ½ miles, to the erosion permit, to every complaint brought forward and the need to send this to ZBA with the emphasis on the findings of facts and the sur-rebuttal along with other concerns, how the County Board was to only consider testimony/evidence from the ZBA hearings, how the ZBA was supposed to find conclusions to a number of findings of facts, how the first finding of fact was the solar farm would not be detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare but the issue brought up concerning property and home values was a significant issue to look at and the minutes of the May 22, 2018, ZBA meeting showed how several realtors submitted their opinions that the solar farms had negative impact on property values and this would confirm Item A of the ZBA recommendation was not in the affirmative and in regard to Item B on the ZBA recommendation the solar farm would impede the residential neighborhood the use and enjoyment of the surrounding properties in the area, how the May 22, 2018, ZBA minutes reflect that Mr. Berry (Sol America Energy) said this site was considered since it was near a substation with access to lines and there was a willing land owner but how these minutes fail to show that when such Board asked Mr. Berry if there was anything special about this piece of property both times he said no, and how Mr. Parsons felt the County Board could not ignore the public who showed up against this, he was against the project, and he was going to represent the people of District 3-that was his intention.

In response to a question to what the options were, State's Attorney Minger stated the Ordinance says the County Board could approve, deny or send it back to the ZBA for future consideration but the State statute says the Special Use can only be approved or sent back. Since the Board could not vote to deny it by State law and there was a procedural issue he would advise to send the matter back to address the procedural issue to let people opposed have sur-rebuttal. Once that was done then the findings of facts should specifically be laid out (could be a little issue here)-there should be specific findings of facts as required by statute. The ZBA was a quasi-judicial board that made specific fact findings and the County Board made sure the procedure was followed right. State law does not say anything about denying a Special Use. In regard to a question on tabling this, State's Attorney Minger felt this issue should be handled one way or the other. He was not advising to do this but if the Board voted to reject it (and he felt this couldn't be done based on State law) but if the Board did, it should have specific reasons to vote no. In regard to a question on why the Board was given the opportunity to vote on such if no denial opportunities, State's Attorney Minger stated the law must be obeyed. He read from 55 ILCS 5/5-12009.5(d) and stated by strict reading of statute he could not see how the County could deny this Special Use. Discussion then continued how it was doubtful anyone's opinion would change by sending this back to ZBA. State's Attorney Minger stated State Statute has procedure set out how the County Board could delegate to ZBA by Ordinance and this could not be done now but in the future. It was pointed out how at the hearing only negative findings of fact were discussed, how the State law was wrong, how there was a need to respect property owners rights to do what they wanted to do on their land as long as it didn't infringe on someone else's rights, how Eureka had a Comprehensive Long Term Plan for that area to grow in residences, and how this same issue came up in El Paso concerning the three wind towers that were in the 1 ½ miles of the City-and El Paso asked they be removed and were amended out because of the authority of the municipality and this could be the same issue with Eureka government, how there could be an issue with the Special Use which was not transferrable and how this solar farm

had said it planned to build and then sell to another operator who would then have to reapply for the special use, how there could be an issue with the building timeline, how in this case the Special Use was in an incompatible spot and the people didn't want it, how this issue should not be held in limbo for several more months because the findings of fact were not correct and the belief this could be the case for denying it, how there was the need to follow the law whether you agreed with it or not, how there was a procedure in place that should be followed to the letter, how Eureka should have annexed this property into the City if they wanted to make the decision on the solar farm otherwise it was a County issue, how the Comprehensive Land Plan does not apply to solar farms but does apply to wind farms and possibly subdivisions, and the suggestion of changing the ZBA to 15 members to get representation of all the County.

Chairman Glazier called for the vote on the motion to send this back to the ZBA for further consideration, providing for sur-rebuttal from the opposition and to specifically describe the findings of fact. He called for a roll call vote. County Board Members voting Aye: Richard Hill, Douglas Huser, Jason Jording, Bryant Kempf, Duane Kingdon, Thomas McKenna, Randy Roethler, Andy Rokey, Pete Streid, Russell Cotton, and Stanley Glazier. County Board Members voting Nay: John Krug, Barry Logan, Blake Parsons, and Donald Tolan. County Board Members absent: None. Motion carried.

Chairman Glazier called for a five minute break at 7:49 P.M.

* * *

Chairman Glazier stated the Board was back in session. The time was approximately 7:56 P.M.

Mr. Roethler moved to approve Resolution #077 accepting the low bid of \$8,658.36 from Winter Equipment Company from Willoughby, OH to purchase new snow plow blades for the snow plowing operations in the FY 2019 season. The motion was seconded by Mr. Kingdon and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Huser moved to approve Resolution #078 to award a construction contract for \$20,628.00 to Stark Excavating of Bloomington, Illinois for Section 18-12135-00-BR, located approximately 3 miles southwest of Secor, Illinois. The motion was seconded by Mr. Roethler and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Hill moved to approve Resolution #079 to enter into an intergovernmental agreement with the City of Minonk for the City to install and maintain a crosswalk and associated signage at County Highway 2 and Moran Street in the City. The motion was seconded by Mr. Rokey. County Engineer Moore addressed several questions and stated the pavement would be marked as well as the possibility of having a flashing pedestrian sign in the middle. Mr. Logan stated the Resolution/Agreement stated the City would do all future maintenance and questioned if they were modifying the pavement and when the County got ready to do something with the pavement, would that be covered. County Engineer Moore responded it could be stipulated to be just pavement markings. Mr. Jording moved to send Resolution #079 back to the Road & Bridge Committee for further discussion and clarification, which motion was seconded by Mr. Parsons and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Roethler moved to approve Resolution #080 appropriating Motor Fuel Tax Funds for structure replacement approximately 3.5 miles southeast of Metamora, Section 01-00101-00-BR, which motion was seconded by Mr. Rokey and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Rokey moved to approve Resolution #081 accepting a Petition for County Aid from Montgomery Township, Section 18-10151-00-DR, which motion was seconded by Mr. Kingdon and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Logan moved to approve Resolution #082 appropriating \$9,360.00 from the County Motor Fuel Tax Fund to pay the FY 2019 dues to P.P.U.A.T.S., which motion was seconded by Mr. Kingdon and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Jording moved to approve Resolution #083 authorizing the Sheriff to make a vehicle purchase not to exceed \$31,000.00 with \$20,000.00 from line item 051-220-5060-013 and the remainder from line item 092-220-5060-013, which motion was seconded by Mr. Parsons and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Jording moved to approve Resolution #084 authorizing the Sheriff to accept the bid from Otto Baum Co., Inc. in the amount of \$5,915.00 for tuck pointing and masonry restoration of the Public Safety Building, which motion was seconded by Mr. Cotton and was unanimously carried.

The first item under New Business as listed on the agenda was “Consideration/Action on allowing Enhanced 911 employees to participate in the County of Woodford Health Insurance Plan (things to consider: effective date, health applications, deductible credit, IBNR claims if they leave our plan)”. Mr. Jording moved to send this matter back to the Health Insurance Committee, which motion was seconded by Mr. Hill. Discussion followed how this wasn’t necessarily a bad thing but there were issues the Health Insurance Committee needed to look into prior to allowing Enhanced 911 employees to participate, how one had to be a County employee to be on the Plan and Enhanced 911 employees were not County employees, how 911 employees were on the Health Insurance Plan years ago but chose to leave the Plan and now wanted back on, how it was questionable whether the Health Department employees and Probation employees were County employees, how 911 and the Health Department had their own Boards, how judiciary controls Probation Officers and they would probably be State employees, how the Committee felt there should be an effective date of January 1 if the Enhanced 911 employees came on the plan and there needed to be an intergovernmental agreement they would have to stay on the plan for a certain amount of years and if Enhanced 911 chose to leave the plan there must be a one year notice, and how the Enhanced 911 employees would have to complete underwriting questionnaires. Sheriff Matt Smith would take all the information from the Committee and the County Board to the 911 Board and let them decide if they wanted to come on the Plan along with any stipulations. It was pointed out that if non-County employees were permitted on the Health Insurance Plan and the Plan says it must be County employees, it would need to be modified and it was best to get the issues worked out first. Chairman Glazier called for the vote and it unanimously carried.

The second item under New Business as listed on the agenda was “Amendment of the “Agreement for Woodford County Health Care Committee” to allow the Enhanced 911 employees coming onto the County of Woodford Health Insurance Plan”. Health Insurance Committee Chairperson Melissa Andrews stated this required no action tonight since there was no action on the previous item. If the Enhanced 911 employees came on the Health Care Plan, the Agreement would have to be amended to allow for representative from 911. Mr. Jording stated this would change the representation on that Committee and would dilute the County Board’s vote on such.

The third item under New Business as listed on the agenda was “Annual request for flu shots to be administered by the Health Department and paid for out of General Fund Insurance line item 051-200-5027 (\$18 for pediatric and regular doses, \$45 for high-dose)”. Mr. Cotton moved on such, which motion was seconded by Mr. Rokey and was unanimously carried.

The fourth item under New Business as listed on the agenda was “Consideration/Action on hiring additional help for VA Office”. Mr. Jording moved to send this back to the County Offices Committee, which motion was seconded by Mr. Cotton. Superintendent of the Veterans Assistance Commission (VAC) Allen Helsel reported the VAC Office had brought over four million dollars into the County through assistance to veterans with federal funds since 1996. With the hiring of an additional person (Marie) the VAC Office could be open five days a week instead of the two days. This individual currently worked at ICC with the veterans and she would bring those veterans to the County to do the paperwork which would mean spending in the County. The purpose of the VAC was to help veterans and they would spend their payment vouchers in Woodford County. Discussion followed how the budget for this Office was being written to include three part-time people and to make this a full time office, how the salary for all three would be \$31-32,000.00, how the Superintendent had said the current office space was adequate, how the question for the Board was whether to make a five day a week office, how the County Offices Committee should draw up that budget for next year and pass it on to the Finance and Economic Development Committee, how there wasn’t enough in the budget for this year to pay for the extra person, how other costs needed to be considered such as workers comp, health care, retirement, etc. in addition to salary and these costs were out of control, how not long ago there was one employee in this Office-then two-then an increase in the salary of one employee-and now possibly three employees, questioning if the veterans had complained they were not getting good enough service, how it was the Board’s responsibility to watch the tax payers money and spend it wisely, how another option may be to have one person work three days a week and another work two days a week rather than

hiring a third person, and how this could be reviewed in Committee. Chairman Glazier asked if the Board was ready to send this issue back to Committee (County Offices) to look at for further clarification and a recommendation. He then called for the vote and it unanimously carried.

The fifth item under New Business as listed on the agenda was "Discussion/Action on Annex 3". Mr. Jording moved to send this matter back to the Public Safety Committee, which motion was seconded by Mr. Rokey. Mr. Jording reported how the Board could take the money for abatement from the Annex 3 budget for a flashing issue (Public Safety Building) or take the funds out of the contingency line item for the flashing project and then go forward with the asbestos abatement in Annex 3. Discussion followed how the asbestos must be removed from Annex 3 whether it was torn down or made into a useful building, how the hope could be to have County offices such as the Circuit Clerk and State's Attorney Offices use this building for storage and not pay for storage at other sites, how the cost for abating Annex 3 was around \$18,000.00, how the consensus of the Board was to do both projects, how there could be additional costs if Annex 3 was used after the abatement and the need for a plan before the abatement, how the cost to remodel after the abatement was around \$249,000.00 and it would be around \$11,000.00 to tear it down, how there was \$24,000.00 in this budget which was enough for the asbestos removal and to continue to use it for storage, and the issue of a "sticky" floor during the abatement process with the worst case scenario being to grind the floor. Chairman Glazier called for the vote and it unanimously carried.

Nothing was listed under Unfinished Business as found on the agenda.

Clerk Harms presented the Claims Paid in Vacation since the last meeting of the Board.

Mr. Kempf moved to approve the Claims Paid in Vacation since the last meeting of the Board, which motion was seconded by Mr. Tolan.

Chairman Glazier called for any additional claims to be Paid in Vacation. Mr. Jording presented a claim to be Paid in Vacation to McKenna Veterinary Service in the amount of \$487.50 for two invoices. This claim concerned the Menssen Trust and funds had been deposited with the County for such.

Mr. Jording also presented another claim to be Paid in Vacation to McKenna Veterinary Service in the amount of \$1,791.35 for three invoices. This claim concerned the Menssen Trust but the funds had not yet been deposited with the County but could be paid upon receipt of such funds.

Mr. Kempf presented the following four claims to be Paid in Vacation:

- For VAC training (IACVAC-Illinois Association of County Veterans Assistance Commissions) in the amount of \$900.00
- To John P. DiNardi in the amount of \$5,000.00 for an eminent domain case
- For the firewall renewal (Burwood Group, Inc.) in the amount of \$2,808.00
- For the claim listed under Claims Paid in Vacation on the agenda as, "Distributed share of the Mary Ardis Bass Estate to Alton Lear Bass out of line item 114-030-2510-013 in the amount of \$386,585.89.

VAC Superintendent Helsel stated the IACVAC claim included attendance of Marie (from the above discussion of the hiring of additional help for the VAC Office). This cost was \$275.00 per person for two of the personnel and \$350.00 for Marie as she was not certified. Mr. Roethler moved to reduce the claim to the number of employees the County had (two), which motion was seconded by Mr. Huser. This would be the total claim as presented in the amount of \$900.00 less \$350.00 or \$550.00. Discussion followed with Superintendent Helsel stating that if she did not become an employee of the County the money for her would be paid back, questioning if Marie became an employee if she would be qualified to do the job if she didn't take the training now, how the training was once a year, how she could pay the training cost for herself to attend and then submit it for reimbursement if she became an employee, how Superintendent Helsel stated when she becomes an employee she must have the training to start and if there was no training she would not be certified through the VA, how Superintendent Helsel stated he would check into whether there was some other place she could get the training within the next year and that she could be taken off the list if the Board wanted and just he and Randy (employee of the County VAC Office) would attend, how it would take at least two months to decide if she would be employed

by the County, how the thought was that she would be included in next year's budget, how another possibility was to have one of the current employees work three days a week rather than hire a third person, and options for staffing if it was decided the VAC Office was going to be open five days a week. Chairman Glazier called for the vote on the motion to reduce the claim to \$550.00 and it failed.

In response to a question on the above claim to be Paid in Vacation for \$5,000.00, Treasurer Andrews explained the circumstances and stated she needed the Board's approval to get the check written for this as well as for the Ardis Bass estate.

Chairman Glazier called for the vote on the Claims Paid in Vacation and it carried.

Mr. Jording moved on the claim to be Paid in Vacation for \$386,585.89, which motion was seconded by Mr. Cotton and was unanimously carried.

Chairman Glazier stated this concluded the Claims Paid in Vacation.

Mr. Hill presented and read Resolution #076 relative to the payment of the Claims.

Mr. Hill moved for the adoption of Resolution #076, which motion was seconded by Mr. Cotton. Chairman Glazier called for a roll call vote. County Board Members voting Aye: Douglas Huser, Jason Jording, Bryant Kempf, Duane Kingdon, John Krug, Barry Logan, Thomas McKenna, Blake Parsons, Randy Roethler, Andy Rokey, Pete Streid, Donald Tolan, Russell Cotton, Stanley Glazier, and Richard Hill. County Board Members voting Nay: None. County Board Members absent: None. Motion carried.

The Report of the County Treasurer on receipts and disbursement of the General Fund, current fund balances and interfund transfers for the period ending July 31, 2018, was presented, as well as a handout regarding the Revolving Loan account as of July 31, 2018.

Mr. Cotton moved to place all of the Treasurer's reports on file, which motion was seconded by Mr. Logan and was unanimously carried.

The Report for the Office of County Board dated August 21, 2018, was presented. Mr. Rokey moved to place the Report on file, which motion was seconded by Mr. Logan and was unanimously carried.

Clerk Harms presented the list of correspondence to be placed on file for the August 21, 2018, County Board meeting:

- Information from Mediacom regarding the implementation of rate adjustments to be effective around September 1, 2018.
- Minutes of the Finance & Economic Development Committee meeting held July 9, 2018.
- Minutes of the Road and Bridge Committee meeting held July 5, 2018.
- Minutes of the Public Safety Committee meeting held July 9, 2018.
- Minutes of the County Offices Committee meeting held July 10, 2018.
- Minutes of the Conservation, Planning, and Zoning Committee meetings held June 26 (Special Joint Meeting with Zoning Board of Appeals, July 10, 2018, and of the Executive Session held February 14, 2017).

Mr. Logan moved to place all correspondence on file, which motion was seconded by Mr. Kempf and was unanimously carried.

The respective Chairmen gave the reports of each Standing Committee.

Mr. Kempf, Chairman of the County Offices Committee, reported there was much discussion on the building and would be discussed at the executive session tonight. There was also a lot of discussion on hiring a fulltime IT person. It was decided Sheriff Matt Smith would check with all the Departments to find out what each was paying

to get a general idea of the IT costs. Mr. Kempf reported Mr. Jording would put those figures together over the next month.

Mr. Hill, Chairman of the Finance and Economic Development Committee, reported the Committee was working on budgets.

Mr. Kingdon, Chairman of the Conservation, Planning and Zoning (CPZ) Committee, thanked State's Attorney Minger for visiting their meeting. He reported they had a very good discussion with his presence and hoped to see more of him in the future.

Chairperson Andrews reported for the Health Care Committee (Health Insurance Committee) and thanked State's Attorney Minger and his Assistant for attending their meeting.

Mr. Kingdon reported for the Zoning Board of Appeals and stated there were seven things on their agenda for this month's meeting (solar farms, a Special Use for weddings, a Special Use for chickens, recon-structuring boundary lines for the Apostolic Christian Church, and rezoning for Parsons Equipment as well as a few other things).

Mr. Jording directed a question to Chairman Glazier in regard to the Liquor Committee and stated he had a resident approach him about changing the Liquor Ordinance. This person believed the Ordinance was difficult for some facilities to work with in that it was very specific. You could have a Class whatever license to sell beverages inside but then if you wanted to have something like a place outside on the same premises to sell beverages you couldn't do that-you had to get another license. Mr. Jording stated he would like the Liquor Committee to look at the Ordinance and determine if the Ordinance was more than State Statute required and if so, if that was something the County wanted to do. Chairman Glazier stated they would review such this month.

Veterans Assistance Commission Superintendent Allen Helsel reported they had 25 office visits this month and prepared 4 vouchers for assistance to veterans and their families. They transported veterans to various locations and were busy with visits and calls.

The next item listed on the agenda was Community Announcements and there were none.

Chairman Glazier stated four executive sessions were listed on the agenda and there would be action on a couple of them coming out of such session. Four Executive Sessions were called for four different matters and would be denoted as Part a, Part b, Part c, and Part d respectively within the Executive Session minutes.

Chairman Glazier asked for a motion to enter into executive session for Item a as listed on the agenda under Motion to go into Executive Session being "5 ILCS 120/2(c)(2)-Discussion on Memorandum of Understanding between County of Woodford and AFSCME Council 31 Local 2908". Mr. Kingdon moved on such, which motion was seconded by Mr. Hill. Chairman Glazier called for a roll call vote. County Board Members voting Aye: Jason Jording, Bryant Kempf, Duane Kingdon, John Krug, Barry Logan, Thomas McKenna, Blake Parsons, Randy Roethler, Andy Rokey, Pete Streid, Donald Tolan, Russell Cotton, Stanley Glazier, Richard Hill, and Douglas Huser. County Board Members voting Nay: None. County Board Members absent: None. Motion carried.

The County Board Members, State's Attorney Greg Minger, and County Clerk Debbie Harms were in attendance for this session. The time was approximately 8:55 P.M.

*

*

*

Mr. Kingdon moved the County Board come out of Executive Session-Item a, which motion was seconded by Mr. Roethler and was unanimously carried. The time was approximately 9:07 P.M.

Chairman Glazier asked for a motion to enter into executive session for Item b as listed on the agenda under Motion to go into Executive Session being "5 ILCS 120/2(c)(11)-Litigation". Mr. Rokey moved on such, which

motion was seconded by Mr. Cotton. Chairman Glazier called for a roll call vote. County Board Members voting Aye: Bryant Kempf, Duane Kingdon, John Krug, Barry Logan, Thomas McKenna, Blake Parsons, Randy Roethler, Andy Rokey, Pete Streid, Donald Tolan, Russell Cotton, Stanley Glazier, Richard Hill, Douglas Huser, and Jason Jording. County Board Members voting Nay: None. County Board Members absent: None. Motion carried.

The County Board Members, State's Attorney Greg Minger, and County Clerk Debbie Harms were in attendance for this session. The time was approximately 9:08 P.M.

* * *

Mr. Kingdon moved the County Board come out of Executive Session-Item b, which motion was seconded by Mr. Rokey and was unanimously carried. The time was approximately 9:11 P.M.

Chairman Glazier asked for a motion to enter into executive session for Item c as listed on the agenda under Motion to go into Executive Session being "5 ILCS 120/2(c)(1)-Discussion on back pay to one AFSCME union employee". Mr. Cotton moved on such, which motion was seconded by Mr. Rokey. Chairman Glazier called for a roll call vote. County Board Members voting Aye: Duane Kingdon, John Krug, Barry Logan, Thomas McKenna, Blake Parsons, Randy Roethler, Andy Rokey, Pete Streid, Donald Tolan, Russell Cotton, Stanley Glazier, Richard Hill, Douglas Huser, Jason Jording, and Bryant Kempf. County Board Members voting Nay: None. County Board Members absent: None. Motion carried.

The County Board Members, State's Attorney Greg Minger, and County Clerk Debbie Harms were in attendance for this session. The time was approximately 9:12 P.M.

* * *

Mr. Hill moved the County Board come out of Executive Session-Item c, which motion was seconded by Mr. Roethler and was unanimously carried. The time was approximately 9:16 P.M.

Chairman Glazier asked for a motion to enter into executive session for Item d as listed on the agenda under Motion to go into Executive Session being "5 ILCS 120/2(c)(5)-Discussion on purchase or lease of real property". Mr. Kingdon moved on such, which motion was seconded by Mr. Rokey. Chairman Glazier called for a roll call vote. County Board Members voting Aye: John Krug, Barry Logan, Thomas McKenna, Blake Parsons, Randy Roethler, Andy Rokey, Pete Streid, Donald Tolan, Russell Cotton, Stanley Glazier, Richard Hill, Douglas Huser, Jason Jording, Bryant Kempf, and Duane Kingdon. County Board Members voting Nay: None. County Board Members absent: None. Motion carried.

The County Board Members, State's Attorney Greg Minger, Health Department Administrator Hillary Aggertt, and County Clerk Debbie Harms were in attendance for this session. The time was approximately 9:18 P.M.

* * *

Mr. Roethler moved the County Board come out of Executive Session-Item d, which motion was seconded by Mr. Parsons and was unanimously carried. The time was approximately 9:46 P.M.

Chairman Glazier announced the Board was in regular session at approximately 9:46 P.M. and would take a five minute break.

* * *

Chairman Glazier announced the Board was back in session from the recess at approximately 9:50 P.M. He stated there was no action in regard to Executive Session-Item a.

In regard to Executive Session-Item b, Mr. Jording moved to approve the Settlement Agreement and Release with the changes outlined by State's Attorney Minger on page 3, paragraph 3 by striking everything in the paragraph after the word "Lawsuit" and replacing it with, "the reimbursement of the expense of retaining Patrick Engineering, the reimbursement of the expense of the report prepared by Patrick Engineering, the amount of the reimbursement of the expense of retaining Patrick Engineering, or the amount of the reimbursement of the expense of the report prepared by Patrick Engineering." The motion was seconded by Mr. Logan and was unanimously carried.

In regard to Executive Session-Item c, Mr. Jording moved to pay back pay to one AFSCME union employee in the amount of \$2,308.80 out of line item 051-110-5003-004 being Deputy Assessor in the Chief County Assessor budget. The motion was seconded by Mr. Parsons and was unanimously carried.

Mr. Jording moved to adjourn, which motion was seconded by Mr. Logan and was unanimously carried.

Chairman Glazier announced the Board adjourned.

Attest: Debbie Harms, Woodford County Clerk & Recorder